

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI  
EASTERN DIVISION

STEVEN R. FISH,	)	
	)	
Petitioner,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	Case No. 4:09-CV-1604 AGF
	)	
STATE OF MISSOURI,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	

**ORDER AND MEMORANDUM**

This matter is before the Court upon the application of Steven R. Fish for leave to commence this action without payment of the required filing fee. Upon consideration of the financial information provided with the application, the Court will grant applicant provisional leave to file this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a).

**The Petition**

Petitioner, a Missouri state prisoner incarcerated at the Missouri Eastern Correctional Center ("MECC"), seeks a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 for a conviction that arose in Miller County, Missouri. The MECC is located in St. Louis County, Missouri, which is in the Eastern District of Missouri. See 28 U.S.C. § 105(a)(1). Miller County is located in the Western District of Missouri. 28 U.S.C. § 105(b)(4).

**Discussion**

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d), the Eastern District of Missouri and the Western District of Missouri have concurrent jurisdiction to entertain the petition. Where two district courts have concurrent jurisdiction over a petition for a writ of habeas corpus, the district in which the petition is originally filed may, in the exercise of its discretion and in furtherance of justice, transfer the

petition to the other district court for hearing and determination. 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d). Furthermore, on January 27, 1986, this Court entered an order stating that, absent unusual circumstances, any habeas corpus petition challenging a conviction or sentence arising out of a proceeding in the Western District of Missouri shall be transferred to that district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d). See In re: Business of the Court, January 27, 1986.

Because the conviction arose in the Western District of Missouri, the Court believes that the interests of justice would be better served if the petition were transferred to the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri.

Therefore,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that petitioner's motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis [Doc. #2] is **PROVISIONALLY GRANTED**. Because this case is to be transferred to the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri, such leave to proceed in forma pauperis is subject to modification by the Western District upon transfer.

An appropriate order shall accompany this memorandum and order.

Dated this 7th day of October, 2009.

/s/Donald J. Stohr

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**